

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,983	UCHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Seungsook Ham.

(2) William Jung.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Michael K. Mutter.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant and the examiner agreed to amend claim 10 for clarification which does not affect the scope of the claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)